



LME Red Flag Assessment Template

Reporting template for LME-listed Brands, version 2

Revision date: January 2023

LME RED FLAG ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE

Introduction

- This document constitutes the LME RFA Template (the “template”) for the purposes of the LME Policy on Responsible Sourcing of LME-Listed Brands (the “Policy”). This template and embedded workbook is only required for Brands following the Audited LME RFA Track (Track B) and the Published LME RFA Track (Track C). Capitalised terms not defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Policy.
- This template is based on the “Supplement on Tin, Tantalum and Tungsten” of the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas, Third Edition. References to “OECD Guidance” in the context of this template should be interpreted accordingly.
- The purpose of the OECD Guidance is to help companies respect human rights and avoid contributing to conflict through their sourcing decisions, including the choice of their suppliers¹.
- Producers should consult the Policy for information on Reporting Periods, and submission deadlines; other contextual information may be found in the guidance notes published by the LME.
- Please submit all relevant documents in English, this includes the template, RFA workbook, and any supplemental documents the LME should consider as part of the submission.
- The RFA workbook is available here:



Microsoft Excel
Worksheet

¹ OECD Guidance, page 12



Section 1. BRAND INFORMATION	
LME Brand Producer name ²	
LME Brand name(s) ^{2,3}	
Reporting Period start date (DD/MM/YYYY)	
Reporting Period end date (DD/MM/YYYY)	
Date of submission (DD/MM/YYYY)	
Address of Brand producing site	
Website of Brand Producer	
Name of person submitting RFA	
Job title of person submitting RFA	
Phone number	
Email address	

² This may be found on the list of LME Approved Brands: <https://www.lme.com/en/physical-services/brands/approved-brands>

³ In the majority of cases, each Brand will require a unique RFA. However, if multiple Brands are produced by the same Producer, and the responses to the RFA questions are identical for all said Brands, one RFA may be submitted for all Brands.



Section 2. COMPANY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

- a. Describe the Producer's supply chain due diligence policy. The policy should incorporate the standards against which due diligence is to be conducted, consistent with the model policy contained in Annex II of the OECD Guidance.⁴

Please provide a link or a copy where available.

⁴ OECD Guidance, page 20



b. Describe how this policy been communicated to suppliers, employees, and the public.⁵

c. Explain the management structure responsible for the Producer's due diligence, including who is directly responsible for implementing the supply chain due diligence policy.⁶

⁵ OECD Guidance, page 17

⁶ OECD Guidance, page 17 and page 52



- d. Describe the system of controls and transparency over the mineral supply chain put in place by the Producer. This includes:
 - a. the type of information⁷ the Producer collects from suppliers,
 - b. whether this information is required in commercial contracts with suppliers⁸
 - c. the format of the record-keeping of the Producer (such as paper-based, computerised, digital ledger technology, or part of an institutionalised mechanism)⁸, and
 - d. for how long this information is stored⁸.

⁷ OECD Guidance, page 38, which refers to the information expected on page 37

⁸ OECD Guidance, page 38



e. Describe the data the management system has yielded this Reporting Period and how it has strengthened the company's due diligence efforts.⁹

f. Confirm that a company-level or industry-wide grievance mechanism has been established and provide evidence.¹⁰

⁹ OECD Guidance, page 52

¹⁰ OECD Guidance, page 17 with details on page 40



g. Describe the Producer's method for identifying Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas ("CAHRA").¹¹

¹¹ Though not directly referenced in the OECD Guidance, the LME requires this information to be disclosed as part of the transparency requirements for Track C. In line with the Policy, the LME expects that the definition of "conflict-affected and high-risk areas" will be as set out in the "Supplement on Gold" of the OECD Guidance page 66.



Section 3. LOCATION OF MINERAL ORIGIN AND TRANSIT RED FLAG EVALUATION

Use the workbook to provide the following information:

- a. On the basis of the Producer's Company Management Systems for tracing the transit of materials from its operations and those of its suppliers, list all countries from which the minerals used for this Brand originated and transited through during the Reporting Period.
- b. Identify whether each of the countries listed in (a.) is a source for the Brand material or a country through which the material only transits.
- c. Specify the type of material being sourced or transited. This is optional to disclose and only requested to assist in answering the remaining questions.
- d. Classify each country's CAHRA status:
 - (i) A country of which no area falls into the CAHRA definition;
 - (ii) A country of which all areas fall into the CAHRA definition; or
 - (iii) A country of which some, but not all areas fall into the CAHRA definition. In this case, indicate whether the material is sourced from or transits through the CAHRA in the comment column.
- e. For each of the source countries identified, assess if the volume of mineral the Producer sourced during the Reporting Period is in keeping with the source country's known reserves and expected production levels. Provide the result of your assessment.
- f. For each of the countries identified, assess if this country known to transit materials from CAHRAs.
- g. For each of the source countries in (b.), assess the status of the supplier's Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative ("EITI") implementation following these steps¹²:
 - (i) State whether or not the country is an EITI-implementing country¹³;
 - (ii) If the supplier is located in an EITI-implementing country, identify if the supplier is in compliance with the EITI reporting requirements of that country¹⁴. Provide links to the relevant company's submission for the purpose of EITI reporting, where such a disclosure does not reveal commercially sensitive information.
 - (iii) If the country is not an EITI-implementing country, identify if the supplier takes other steps to provide transparency on payments to governments and other matters in line with the aims of EITI. Provide link(s) to disclosures where available.

¹² The LME believes that financial crime and corruption risk represent important concerns for industry stakeholders. Accordingly, the LME is particularly keen to ensure that the principles of the EITI, as referenced on page 52 of the OECD Guidance, are embedded into global supply chains. As such, this template references the specific wording of the OECD Guidance in respect of EITI, but further requires that Producers explain broader disclosure on a per-country basis.

¹³ The status of country's EITI implementation can be found here: <https://eiti.org/countries>

¹⁴ Producers are encouraged to contact the EITI International Secretariat secretariat@eiti.org for support in finding and understanding supplier's reports.



Section 4. SUPPLIER RED FLAG EVALUATION

The Producer is expected to identify all intermediaries, consolidators, or other actors upstream in their supply chain through their management system¹⁵. This includes but is not limited to: traders who provide minerals to the Producer or the Producer's suppliers; parent companies of the Producer or the Producer's suppliers; and companies that have a controlling interest in the Producer or Producer's suppliers ("Relevant Companies"). The Producer then must identify whether any of the Relevant Companies operate in or source from CAHRAs¹⁶.

If a Relevant Company operates in or sources minerals from a CAHRA, a potential OECD Red Flag is raised. Producers should verify through public sources, KYC checks, and engagement with suppliers to secure evidence (including spot checks as appropriate), that the supplier has strong company-wide due diligence management systems. If evidence is secured (and provided to the LME upon request) that minerals from a red flag location of mineral origin and transit have not entered the Brand's feedstock and the Relevant company has strong company-wide due diligence management systems, Producers may continue to use this template and follow the public disclosure requirements of the relevant Track. If no evidence is available, the supplier red flag remains and the Producer will be referred to Track A for enhanced due diligence with the support of an assurance framework¹⁷.

Use the workbook to provide the following information:

- a. Indicate where the "Relevant Companies" have operated in or sourced minerals during the Reporting Period.
- b. Classify the locations provided in (a.) into either locations where no minerals are produced (such as headquarters, offices, or holding companies) or locations where minerals are produced.
- c. Classify each country's CAHRA status:
 - (i) A country of which no area falls into the CAHRA definition;
 - (ii) A country of which all areas fall into the CAHRA definition; or
 - (iii) A country of which some, but not all areas fall into the CAHRA definition. In this case, indicate whether the operations are located within the CAHRA in the comment column.
- d. If any CAHRAs are identified, provide confirmation that the Producer has secured evidence that the origin or transit of the material entering their feedstock was not sourced from the CAHRA that raised the OECD supplier red flag and that the Relevant Company has strong company-wide due diligence management systems.

¹⁵ OECD Guidance, page 34, which refers to the information expected to be collected by Producers on page 37

¹⁶ The LME is aware that the OECD Guidance goes further on this point, including countries with limited reserves or production levels and countries where minerals from CAHRAs are known to transit; however, the LME considers these points to be adequately covered in Section 3.

¹⁷The LME provides a guidance note on this topic: <https://www.lme.com/en/About/Responsibility/Responsible-sourcing#Guidance-notes-and-webinars>



Section 5. RED FLAG ASSESSMENT

<p>a. Is there any Input Material for the LME Brand where the origin is unable to be determined?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>b. Do any of the countries identified in Section 3 LOCATION OF MINERAL ORIGIN AND TRANSIT EVALUATION fall into the CAHRA areas identified in 3.d?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>c. Do any of the source countries identified in Section 3 LOCATION OF MINERAL ORIGIN AND TRANSIT EVALUATION receive a quantity of material that is out of keeping with the source country's known reserves and expected production levels as answered in 3.e?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>d. Do any of the countries identified in Section 3 LOCATION OF MINERAL ORIGIN AND TRANSIT EVALUATION fall into the list of countries known to transit materials from CAHRAs identified in 3.f?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>e. Do any of the countries identified in Section 4. SUPPLIER RED FLAG EVALUATION fall into the CAHRA areas identified in 4.c without confirmation provided in 4.d?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>f. Is the answer to any of Section 5.a – 5.e positive? If yes, the LME Brand is considered to have raised an OECD Red Flag and must use Track A.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>g. Does the Producer fail to identify the EITI status of any of the source countries identified in Section 3 LOCATION OF MINERAL ORIGIN AND TRANSIT EVALUATION in 3.g.(i)?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>h. Does the Producer fail to provide supplier's EITI disclosure information described in 3.g.(ii) for suppliers located in EITI-implementing countries?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>



i. Does the Producer believe that the Red Flag Assessment should result in a different outcome than indicated in 5.f? If so, then a full explanation must be given.

Yes No

Explanation:



Additional comments:

